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Abstract—Scientific workflows are typically expressed as a graph of logical tasks, each one representing a single program along with its input and output files. This poster introduces JX (JSON eXtended), a declarative language that can express complex workloads as an assembly of sub-graphs that can be partitioned in flexible ways. We present a case study of using JX to represent complex workflows for the Lifemapper biodiversity project. We evaluate partitioning approaches across several computing environments, including ND-Condor, IU-Jetstream, and SDSC-Comet, and show that a coarse partitioning results in faster turnaround times, reduced data transfer, and lower master utilization across all three systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Workflows are a widely-used abstraction for representing simulations, data analyses, and other scientific computations. A workflow is commonly represented as a directed acyclic graph (DAG) which provides a static description of a complex pipeline of interdependent steps called tasks. A workflow management system is used to parse this complex DAG to submit each task to an execution engine once that task’s dependencies are met. Workflow partitioning is the process of splitting a workflow graph into sub-graphs, such that each sub-graph will become a discrete batch job in the target execution system. The most appropriate partitioning depends on many properties of the workflow graph, such as the size of data objects and the execution time of tasks, as well as the performance properties of the execution system.

II. JX: JSON EXTENDED

We developed JX (JSON eXtended) as a language for expressing workflows that allows for easy manipulations to the structure and partitioning of a workflow. JX extends a JSON [1] representation of the workflow by supporting a subset of Python expressions, allowing for a concise intermediate representation that expands to a normal JSON document. Templates in JX can expand to complicated nested workflow structures based on parameters, allowing flexible changes to a workflow’s partitioning scheme.

The following workflow “template”, when expanded, expresses an entire map-reduce type workflow. The expanded workflow includes a rule for each of the N input files, and a reduce step that takes all N intermediate files and produces the final output. This template exposes the structure of the workflow as a parameter. These parametric templates are the primary way JX allows for flexible changes in the organization and partitioning scheme of a scientific workflow.

```json
{"rules": [{
  "inputs": ["split." + str(i)],
  "outputs": ["out." + str(i)],
  "command": 
    "./process.sh split." + str(i),
    
  for i in range(N)
} + [{
  "inputs": ["out." + str(i) for i in range(N)],
  "outputs": ["result.dat"],
  "command": "./reduce.sh out..",
}]
}
```

III. EVALUATION

We explored schemes for partitioning Lifemapper [2], a distributed biodiversity modeling application. As a high-throughput application, Lifemapper offers significant freedom in organizing computation beyond simply following data dependency relationships. We observed that the granularity at which we distribute pieces of the workflow has a significant impact on the overall behavior of the workflow.

We measured the behavior of Lifemapper under two different partitioning schemes and ran the application on the IU-Jetstream [3], ND-Condor [4], and SDSC-Comet [5] execution sites. We observed substantial differences in performance in terms of execution time, node-local storage, and data transfer between configurations when running on the same execution site. Across all three computing sites, there were similar trends in reduced data transfer and execution time with coarser workflow partitioning.

IV. RELATED WORK

Galaxy [6]–[8] and Taverna [9], [10] operate on static workflow “templates” with the runtime generating concrete steps during execution. Pegasus [11]–[13] is a workflow management system focused on fine-grained management of communication and task scheduling that uses a static XML [14] workflow representation. The Common Workflow Language (CWL) [15] is a workflow specification standard for describing command line tools and workflows in a portable manner. Swift [16] is a custom dataflow language which represents a workflow as a tree of recursive function evaluations such that jobs are the leaves of the evaluation tree.
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